Theoretical Study and Luminescence Properties of the Cyclic Cu3(dppm)3OH2⁺ **Cluster. The First Luminescent Cluster Host at Room Temperature**

Re´**jean Provencher and Pierre D. Harvey***

Département de chimie, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada J1K 2R1

*Recei*V*ed June 29, 1995*^X

The [Cu₃(dppm)₃OH](BF₄)₂ cyclic cluster host is found to be luminescent at 298 K (λ_{max} = 540 nm; τ_e = 89 \pm 9 μs; $\Phi_e = 0.14 \pm 0.01$) in degassed ethanol solutions and at 77 K ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 480$ nm; $\tau_e = 170 \pm 40$ μs; $\Phi = 0.73$ \pm 0.07) also in ethanol. The nature of the lowest energy excited states has been addressed theoretically using density functional theory and experimentally using UV-visible, luminescence, and polarized luminescence spectroscopy and is found to be ^{1,3}A₂ arising from the ...(18e)⁴(7a₂)¹(13a₁)¹ electronic configuration. The excited state geometry optimization for the model $Cu_3(PH_3)_6OH^{2+}$ compound in its T₁ state (${}^{3}A_2$) has been performed using density functional theory and compared to its ground state structure. The Cu \cdots Cu bond length is expected to decrease greatly in the excited state (calculated $\Delta Q \sim 0.47 \text{ Å}$), in agreement with the d¹⁰ electronic configuration. The perturbation of the photophysical properties by the addition of two guest carboxylate anions has been investigated. From the Stern-Volmer plots, the quenching constants, k_q , are 1.65×10^8 and 5.10×10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ for acetate and 4-aminobenzoate, respectively, which are also proportional to the relative binding strengths of the substrates with $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ (i.e., acetate < 4-aminobenzoate).

Introduction

The Cu^I cluster complexes have been the topic of numerous theoretical and spectroscopic studies regarding the nature of the lowest energy luminescent excited states and the presence of $Cu^{I} \cdots Cu^{I}$ interactions.¹ The Cu^I species belong to a larger family of compounds where the electronic configuration is d^{10} (Cu^I, Ag^I, Au^I, Ni⁰, Pd⁰, Pt⁰), which again also exhibit rich photophysical properties. 2^{-4} Recently our group has been interested in low valent trinuclear cyclic clusters of the type

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: (819) 8211-7092. \otimes Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, March 15, 1996.

- (1) (a) Lai, D. C.; Zink, J. I. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 2594. (b) Ryu, C. K.; Vitale, M.; Ford, P. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 869. (c) Ryu, C. K.; Kyle, K. R.; Ford, P. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 3982. (d) Kyle, K. R.; Ryu, C. K.; Ford, P. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 2954. (e) Kyle, K. R.; Palke, W. E.; Ford, P. C. *Coord, Chem. Re*V*.* **1990**, *95*, 35. (f) Henary, M.; Zink, J. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 7404.
- (2) (a) Rath, N. P.; Holt, E. M.: Tanimura, K. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1986**, 2303. (b) Volger, A.; Kunkely, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 7211. (c) Rath, N. P.; Holt, E. M.; Tanimura, *Inorg. Chem.* **1985**, *24*, 3934. (d) Hardt, H. D.; Stroll, H.-J. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 1981, 480, 199. (e) Eitel, E.; Oelkrug, D.; Hiller, W.; Strähle, J. Z. *Naturforsch.* **1980**, *35B*, 1247.
- (3) (a) McCleskey, T. M.; Gray, H. B. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31*, 1733. (b) Assefa, Z.; Destefano, F.; Garepapaghi, M. A.; LaCasce, J. H., Jr.; Ouellete, S.; Corson, M. R.; Nagle, J. K.; Patterson, M. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 2862. (c) Balch, A. C.; Catalano, V. J.; Olmstead, M. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 585. (d) Che, C.-M.; Kwon, H.-L.; Yam, V. W.-W.; Cho, K.-C. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 885. (e) Sabin, F.; Ryu, C. K.; Volger, A.; Ford, P. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31*, 1941. (f) Caspar, J. V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 6718. (g) Khan, M. N. I.; Fackler, J. P., Jr.; King, C.; Wang, J. C.; Wang, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 1672. (h) Che, C. M.; Wong, W. T.; Lai, T.-F.; Kwong, H.-L. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 243. (i) Balch, A. L.; Nagle, J. K.; Oram, D. E.; Reedy, P. E., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 454. (j) Wang, S.; Fackler, J. P., Jr.; King C.; Wang, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 3308.
- (4) (a) Harvey, P. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, (b) Piche´, D.; Harvey, P. D. *Can. J. Chem.* **1994**, *72*, 705. (c) Harvey, P. D.; Gan, L. *Inorg. Chem*. **1990**, *30*, 3239. (d) Perreault, D.; Drouin, M.; Michel, A.; Harvey, P. D. *Inorg. Chem* **1991**, *30,* 2. (e) Hubig, S. M.; Drouin, M.; Michel, A.; Harvey, P. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31,* 3688. (f) Harvey, P. D.; Gray, H. B. *Polyhedron* **1990**, *9*, 1949. (g) Harvey, P. D.; Adar, F.; Gray, H. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 1312. (h) Harvey, P. D.; Gray, H. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 21245. (i) Harvey, P. D.; Schaefer, W. P.; Gray, H. B. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 1101.

 $M_3(dppm)_3CO^{2+}$ (M = Pd, Pt; dppm = $((C_6H_5)_2P)CH_2$) in relation to the presence of guest-host interactions, both in the ground and excited states.⁵ These complexes exhibit a hydrophobic cavity located above the unsaturated M_3 center, which is formed by six dppm phenyl groups arranged like a "picket fence". The $M_3(dppm)_3CO^{2+}$ ground state structure exhibits a formal M-M single bond and a cavity size of \sim 2-3 Å diameter.6 On the other hand, theoretical and experimental considerations indicate that both the M-M bond and cavity size increase from the ground to the lowest energy excited states.^{5b,7} Cyclic trimetallic $M_3(dppm) \, 3X_n$ compounds exhibiting weak M ^{**}M interactions exist such as in the saturated Ag₃(dppm)₃- Br_2 ^{+ 8} and $Cu_3(dppm)_3Cl_2$ ⁺ clusters.⁹ Many years ago Ho and Bau reported the only example of a $d^{10}-d^{10}-d^{10}$ complex exhibiting an unsaturated face.¹⁰ The three latter clusters have been proposed as structural models for the lowest energy excited state $M_3(dppm)_3CO^{2+}$ (M = Pd, Pt) species.^{5b}

We now wish to report the spectroscopic and photophysical properties of the "strongly" luminescent $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ complex. This compound is found to be luminescent both in 298 and 77 K solutions and in the solid state. The molecular

- (9) Bresciani, N.; Marsich, N.; Nardin, G.; Randaccio, L. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1974**, *10*, L5-L6.
- (10) Ho, D. M.; Bau, R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1983**, *22*, 4079.

0020-1669/96/1335-2235\$12.00/0 © 1996 American Chemical Society

^{(5) (}a) Harvey, P. D.; Crozet, M.; Aye, K. T. *Can J. Chem.* **1995**, *73*, 123. (b) Harvey, P. D.; Hubig, S.; Ziegler, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 3700. (c) Provencher, R.; Aye, K. T.; Drouin, M.; Gagnon, J.; Boudreault, N.; Harvey, P. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 3689. (d) Provencher, R.; Harvey, P. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 61.

⁽⁶⁾ Puddephatt, R. J.; Manojlovic-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W. *Polyhedron* **1990**, *9*, 2767.

⁽⁷⁾ The molecular structures for $Pd_3(dppm)_3CO^{2+}$ in the lowest energy ³E and ³A₂ states have been calculated using a program called AMOL and the density functional theory (ADF 113) and are in agreement with the findings in ref 5b. For instance, the ΔQ value for the emissive ${}^{3}A_{2}$ state in Pd₃(dppm)₃CO²⁺ is calculated to be 0.19 Å according to ADF. An emission band fitting similar to a Franck-Condon analysis also reports a ∆*Q* of 0.19 Å: Provencher, R.; Harvey, P. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 2113.

^{(8) (}a) Schubert, V. J.; Neugebawer, D.; Aly, A. A. M. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1980**, *464*, 217. (b) Aly, A. A. M.; Neugebawer, D.; Orama, O.; Schubert, V.; Schmidbaur, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1978**, *17*, 125.

orbital diagram, the electronic transitions, and the evidence of weak Cu \cdots Cu interactions have been addressed theoretically using the density functional theory and experimentally using photoselection emission spectroscopy and a comparative study between a monomeric Cu-phosphine complex and the tricopper cluster. The excited state structure in the lowest energy triplet state $({}^3A_2)$ has been optimized also using the density functional theory. The excited state distortion (shortening) along the Cu \cdots Cu interactions is predicted to be large.

Experimental Section

Materials. The $\text{[Cu}_3(\text{dppm})_3\text{OH} \text{]}(\text{BF}_4)_2^{10}$ and $\text{[Cu}(\text{P}(C_6\text{H}_5)_3)_3]$ -BF₄¹¹ complexes have been prepared according to literature procedures. The solvents (ethanol (Fisher), butyronitrile (Aldrich), toluene (Fisher), 2-propanol (Fisher), and methylcyclohexane (Fisher)) were purified by standard procedures.¹²

Spectroscopic Measurements. Absorption spectra were measured on a Hewlett Packard 8452 A diode array spectrometer, and emission and excitation spectra were obtained using a Spex Fluorolog II spectrometer or a PTI LS 100 spectrometer. Part of the emission lifetime measurements were also performed on the PTI LS 100 instrument. The second part of the measurements were performed on the Spex Fluorolog II spectrometer equipped with a Spex 1934 D phosphorimeter setup (for lifetimes that are at least $>10 \mu s$). Raman spectra were measured on an Instruments SA. Raman spectrometer equipped with a U-1000 Jobin-Yvon 1.0 double monochromator using the 514.5 nm green line of a Spectra-Physics argon ion laser for excitation. Picosecond flash photolysis measurements were performed at the Center for Picosecond Spectroscopy at Concordia University. A description of the setup can be found in ref 34b.

Experimental Procedures. Emission quantum yields (Φ_e) were measured using 9,10-diphenylanthracene as the standard according to published procedures.13 Binding constants were measured according to procedures outlined in reference 5c. Polarization ratios (*N*) were also measured according to literature procedures.¹⁴ All solutions were $Ar(g)$ bubble degassed for room-temperature measurements (298 K), unless stated otherwise.

Computational Details. The CACAO15 graphics package was used to draw the molecular orbitals. In order to enhance clarity, they were redrawn using the Chem Draw computer software. The CACAO program was included in software based on extended Hückel molecular orbital calculations.¹⁶ These

- (11) Gaughan, A. P., Jr.; Dori, Z.; Ibers, J. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974**, *13*, 1657.
- (12) (a) Perrin, D. D.; Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. R. *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*; Pergamon: Oxford, U.K., 1966. (b) Gordon, A. J.; Ford, R. A. *The Chemist's Companion, a Handbook of Practical Data, Techniques, and References*; Wiley: New York, 1972; p 436.
- (13) Lim, E. C.; Laposa, J. D.; Yu, J. M. H. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **1966**, *19*, 412.
- (14) See for examples: (a) Zelent, B.; Harvey, P. D.; Durocher, G. *Can. J. Spectrosc.* **1984**, *29*, 23. (b) *Can. J. Spectrosc.* **1983**, *28*, 188. (c) Harvey, P. D.; Zelent, B.; Durocher, G. *Spectrosc. Int. J.* **1983**, *2*, 128 and references therein. (d) Brummer, J. G.; Crosby, G. A. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *112*, 15.
- (15) Mealli, C.; Proserpio, D. M. *J. Chem. Educ.* **1990**, *67*, 399.
- (16) (a) Hoffmann, R.; Lipscomb, W. M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1962**, *36*, 2179. (b) Hoffmann, R.; Lipscomb, W. M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1962**, *37*, 2872. (c) Hoffmann, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1963**, *39*, 1397.

Table 1. Calculated MO Levels for $Cu_3(PH_3)_6OH^{2+}$

MO label	calcd energy/eV	MO label	calcd energy/eV
14a ₁ $13a_1$ (LUMO) $7a$ ₂ (HOMO) 18e 17e	-8.416 -8.533 -11.859 -11.954 -12.957	16e $11a_1$ $10a_1$ 15e 6a ₂	-13.115 -13.136 -13.295 -13.300 -13.321
$12a_1$	-13.017	14e	-13.395

calculations were performed using a modified version of the Wolfsberg-Helmholz formula.¹⁷ The atomic parameters used for Cu, P, H, and O were taken from the literature.^{16,18} The interatomic distances and valence bond angles were average values taken from ref 10, in which the crystal structure of the $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ cation is reported. To simplify the calculations (limitations in the size of the molecules handled by the program), the dppm ligands were replaced by two PH₃ groups. Such a procedure is standard. The reported density functional calculations were all carried out by utilizing the program ADF version 1.1.3 which was developed by Baerends et al.^{19,20} and vectorized by Raveneck.²¹ The numerical integration procedure applied for the calculations was developed by te Velde et al.²² The geometry optimization procedure was based on the method developed by Versluis and Ziegler. The electronic configurations of the molecular systems were described by an uncontracted double- ζ basis set²³ on copper for 3s, 3p, and 4s and triple-ζ for 4d. Double-ζ STO basis sets²⁴ were used for phosphorus (3s, 3p), oxygen (2s, 2p), and hydrogen (1s), augmented with a single 3d polarization function, except for hydrogen, where a 2p function was used. Polarization functions were not used for copper. The $1s^22s^22p^6$ configuration on copper, the $1s^22s^2$ configuration on phosphorus, and the $1s^2$ configuration on oxygen were assigned to the core and treated by the frozen-core approximation.²⁰ A set of auxiliary²⁵ s, p, d, f, and g STO functions, centered on all nuclei, was used in order to fit the molecular density and present Coulomb and exchange potentials accurately in each SCF cycle. Energy differences were calculated by including the local exchangecorrelation potential of Vosko et al.²⁶ No nonlocal exchange and nonlocal correlation correction was made for the geometry optimization.

Results and Discussion

1. Theoretical Calculations. The molecular orbibal energy diagram for the frontier orbitals of the model compound $Cu₃(PH₃)₆OH²⁺$ was computed using the density functional theory (Table 1). The MO's of interest in this work are the

- (18) (a) Summerville, R. H.; Hoffmann, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 7240. (b) Hay, P. J.; Thibeault, J. P.; Hoffmann, R. *J. Am.Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 4884.
- (19) Baerends, E. J.; Ellis, D. E.; Ros, P. *Chem. Phys.* **1973**, *2*, 41.
- (20) Baerends, E. J. Ph.D. Thesis, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, 1975.
- (21) Raveneck, W. In *Algorithms and Applications on Vector and Parallel Computers;* Rigie, H. J. J., Dekker, Th. J., van de Vorst, H. A., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1987.
- (22) Boerrigter, P. M.; te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **1988**, *33*, 87.
- (23) (a) Snijders, G. J.; Baerends, E. J.; Vernooys, P. At. Nucl. Data Tables **1982**, *26*, 483. (b) Vernooys, P.; Snijders, G. J.; Baerends, E. J. *Slater Type Basis Functions for the Whole Periodic System*; Internal Report; Free University of Amsterdam: Amsterdam, 1981.
- (24) (a) Noodleman, L.; Norman, J. G. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1979**, *70*, 4903. (b) Noodleman, L. *J. Chem. Phys* **1981**, *74*, 5737. (c) Noodleman, L.; Baerends, E. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 2316.
- (25) Krijn, J.; Baerends, E. J. *Fit functions in the HFS-method*; Internal Report (in Dutch); Free University of Amsterdam: Amsterdam, 1984.
- (26) Wosko, S. D.; Wilk, L.; Nusair, M. *Can. J. Phys.* **1990**, *58*, 1200.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Ammeter, J. H.; Burgi, H. B.; Thibeault, J. C.; Hoffmann, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 3686.

Figure 1. Drawing of the LUMO and HOMO of the $Cu_3(PH_3)_6OH^{2+}$ model compound. In the HOMO drawing, the O atom, omitted for clarity, does not contribute to the MO.

Table 2. Atomic Contributions for the $Cu_3(PH_3)_6OH^{2+}$ Frontier Orbitals

		$\frac{0}{6}$											
		Cu											
	$d_{x^2-y^2}$ d_{xy} s p_x p_y p_z p_x p_y p_z p_x p_y p_z other												
LUMO $(13a_1)$					27 22 22 18								
HOMO $(7a_2)$ 26 25					6 4 13 16								10
18e	14	39				3 8 11 14				$1\quad1$			-9

 $13a_1$ (LUMO), $7a_2$ (HOMO), and 18e (HOMO-1). The energy gap between the LUMO and the HOMO is 3.42 eV (17 600 cm^{-1}), which would generate an absorption band around 360 nm. Experimentally, this band is observed at ∼335 nm (section below). The LUMO is composed of ∼62% p and ∼27% s Cu atomic orbitals. Some O p*^z* atomic contributions are also computed (∼4%). This MO exhibits Cu…Cu bonding interactions and some weak antibonding Cu-O interactions. There is no Cu-P component in this MO, and very weak O-H antibonding interactions are felt. Details of the atomic contributions to the MO's are listed in Table 2. The HOMO is primarily composed of ∼51% in-plane Cu d orbitals (d*x*2-*y*2, d*xy*), of ∼10% of in-plane Cu p orbitals (p*x*, p*y*), and of ∼29% of in-plane P p orbitals (p*x*, p*y*). This MO exhibits antibonding interactions for the Cu \cdots Cu and Cu-P interactions, but no contribution of the OH group is observed. Finally, the HOMO-1 (18e) is composed of ∼53% of in plane Cu d atomic contributions (d*x*2-*y*2, d*xy*), of ∼11% Cu p orbitals (p*x*, p*y*), and of ∼25% of in-plane P p orbitals (p*x*, p*y*). Some weak O atomic contributions are also observed. This MO is located ∼0.1 eV below the HOMO, which would theoretically place an absorption band at ∼301 nm $(33\ 200\ cm^{-1})$. Experimentally, a strong absorption is indeed observed in the 283-297 nm range. The program used for the computations (ADF 1.1.3) could not draw the MO's. Instead, we used the CACAO program,¹⁵ which utilizes the qualitative EHMO model. During the computations, we verified that the MO's of interest did not change their relative order and did not change their identity (i.e., approximately the same atomic contributions). Figures 1 and 2 show the LUMO and HOMO and HOMO-1, respectively. Some minor inversions in the MO ordering below HOMO-1 are noticed for MO's separated by less than 0.1eV. These are of little consequence to this work. It is also interesting to note that the MO scheme for the $Cu_3(PH_3)_6OH^+$ model compound is similar to that of the $M_3(PH_3)_6CO^{2+}$ compounds (M = Pd, Pt) investigated by our group^{5b} and by others.²⁷ The two major differences are

Figure 2. Drawing of HOMO-1 (18e) of the $Cu_3(PH_3)_6OH^{2+}$ model compound.

Table 3. Comparison between Selected Experimental and Calculated Bond Distances and Angles for $Cu₃(PH₃)₆OH²⁺$

	$exptl^a$	calcd (C_{3v})			
	$(X-ray data)$	ground state	T_1 excited state		
r (Cu \cdots Cu)/ \AA	3.127(2)	3.373	2.906		
	3.120(2)				
	3.320(2)				
av r (Cu-P)/Å	2.232(6)	2.231	2.174		
av r (Cu-O)/ \AA	2.01(2)	2.00	1.94		
$r(O-H)/\AA$		0.989	0.983		
$av \angle PCuCu/deg$	87(5)	93.8	97.4		
$av \angle CuOCu/deg$	105(6)	115.1	97.1		
av \angle Cu OH/deg	113(5)	103.0	120.1		

 a Data for Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺ from ref 10. The uncertainties included in parentheses for average values are the differences between the average values and the most different experimental data.

the addition of two electrons in the $Cu₃$ complex and the absence of *π*-back-bonding orbitals in the OH group.

The structure of the $Cu_3(PH_3)_6OH^{2+}$ model cluster in its lowest energy triplet excited state has been optimized using the density functional theory (figure available in the Supporting Information). In order to provide confidence in the calculations, the ground state $Cu_3(PH_3)_6OH^{2+}$ structure is also optimized and compared to that of $Cu_3(dppm)_3OH^{2+}$ (Table 3).¹⁰ The comparison between the experimental and calculated valence bond distances (i.e., $Cu-P$ and $Cu-O$) for the ground state structure is excellent. The calculated $O-H$ bond distance (0.989 for S_0 , 0.983 for T_1) also compares very favorably with the experimental datum (0.970 Å for OD).²⁸ The calculated Cu \cdots Cu separation (3.373 Å) is somewhat larger than that of the three crystallographically measured Cu···Cu distances in Cu₃(dppm)₃- $OH²⁺$ (average = 3.189 Å).¹⁰ This difference is associated with the presence of the bridging dppm ligand which limits the freedom of the P atoms. In bridged dppm non-metal-metalbonded trinuclear complexes, the P···P bite distance is generally found to be around 3.3 \AA .^{8,9} For the model compound, values of 3.67 and 3.48 Å are calculated for the $P^{\cdots}P$ separations

^{(27) (}a) Mealli, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 2245. (b) Evans, D. G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1988**, 352, 397.

⁽²⁸⁾ Weast, R. C., Selby, S. M., Eds. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 48th ed.; The Chemical Rubber Co.: Cleveland, OH, 1968; p F147.

 $(PH_3\cdot\cdot\cdot PH_3)$ of the S₀ and T₁ structures, respectively, and may explain in part some of the small differences in valence bond angles between the X-ray and calculated S_0 data. Upon removal of the dppm ligand from the ground state model cluster, the Cu'''Cu separations increase slightly, which certainly indicates that the M'''M interactions are very weak from a theoretical standpoint. At distances of $3.2-3.4$ Å, the metal atoms are well located above the sum of the van der Waals radii (i.e., $1.40 \times 2 = 2.80 \text{ Å}$.²⁹ Numerous experimental works have demonstrated that (weak) M'''M interactions are still possible at distances exceeding the van der Waals limit and can be detected in polynuclear complexes³⁰ ($M = Rh$,^{30a} Pd,^{30b} Pt,^{30c} Hg30d), notably by Raman and electronic spectroscopy. This phenomenon has been known for years because of the so-called van der Waals molecules.³¹ In the T_1 excited state, this calculated distance undergoes a remarkable decrease going from 3.373 down to 2.906 Å (i.e., calculated excited state distortion $\Delta Q = 0.467$ Å). This observation is in perfect agreement with the MO diagram, which indicates that the HOMO is Cu \cdots Cu antibonding and that the LUMO Cu····Cu is bonding. This predicted ∆*Q* value is somewhat larger than that of the experimentally evaluated one for the related $d^{10}-d^{10}Pd_2(dppm)$ ₃ complex ($\Delta Q \sim 0.18 - 0.23 \text{ Å}$),³² indicating that the Cu₃(dppm)₃- $OH²⁺$ cluster is expected to be a more flexible molecule than the dimer. But again, the absence of the dppm ligand in the computations increases the degree of freedom of the $Cu(PH₃)₂$ fragments. Nevertheless, in relation to the excited state guesthost activities, these computations predict that the cavity size should be greatly reduced in the T_1 state. In addition, the antibonding nature of the Cu-P interactions in the HOMO, and the non contributing Cu-P interactions in the LUMO, predict that the Cu-P distances in the excited state should be somewhat shorter than that of the ground state. This is indeed computed $(2.231 \text{ Å}$ for S₀ and 2.174 Å for T₁ state) and should also contribute to the decrease in cavity size.

One interesting last point is the comparison of the position of the Cu₃ plane with respect to the P_6 plane. In the ground state, the computed P_6 plane is located below the Cu₃ plane (where the OH group is located on top) by 0.10 Å. In the T_1 state, the calculated P_6 plane has moved up above the Cu₃ plane by about 0.07 Å. This structural modification renders the $CuP₃$ fragments less planar in the excited state, deforming the p_z Cu orbitals in order to promote larger Cu p*z*'''p*^z* Cu positive MO overlaps.

2. UV-**Vis Spectra.** In order to address evidence of Cu'''Cu interactions, the UV-vis spectra of the monomeric triangular planar $[Cu(P(C_6H_5)_3)]^+$ complex are investigated.³³ The 298 K spectra of the monomer are characterized by a vibrationally resolved band located at 278 nm ($\epsilon = 4400 \text{ M}^{-1}$) cm^{-1}), with a weak tail around 300 nm. On the other hand, the cluster exhibits an intense shoulder at 255 nm (ϵ = 41 000 M^{-1} cm⁻¹) and a second one at ∼310 nm. The 278 nm

Figure 3. Comparison of the UV-vis spectra for solid $Cu₃(dppm)₃$ -OH²⁺ at 298 K (top) and for Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺ in ethanol (at 77 K for resolution improvement purposes; bottom).

Table 4. Comparison of the UV-Vis Data

	exptl			
	λ /nm			calcd
solid state (298 K)	ethanol (77 K)	comment	λ /nm	proposed assignt
335 (sh) 283 (sh) 250 (sh)	337 (sh) 297 \sim 260 (sh)	weak strong	360 301	$7a_2 \rightarrow 13a_1$ $18e \rightarrow 13a_1$

structured band in the monomeric species is clearly characteristic of an intraligand $(P(C_6H_5)_3)$ absorption. The strongly allowed character of the 255 nm band in the cluster compound indicates that different electronic transitions are taking place and indicates some evidence of weak Cu \cdots Cu interactions in the cluster. Further evidence is also provided from the comparison of the emission spectra (see text below).

The 298 K solid state and 77 K solution UV-visible spectra of $Cu_3(dppm)_3OH^{2+}$ are shown in Figure 3(Table 4). Both exhibit features in the 290 and 335 nm range in agreement with the theoretical predictions.

3. Emission Spectra. Despite the fact that the compound was reported over 10 years ago, the luminescence properties appear never to have been investigated. The $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ cluster is indeed strongly luminescent both in the solid state (Figure 4) and in solutions (Supporting Information). The solid state emission (λ_{max} = 510 nm at 77 K) is located between the emissions found for the solutions ($\lambda_{\text{emi}} = 480$ nm, $\tau_{\text{e}} = 170 \pm$ $40 \,\mu s$, $\Phi_e = 0.73$ at 77 K, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 540$ nm, $\tau_e = 89 \pm 9 \,\mu s$, Φ_e $= 0.14$ at 298 K). In O₂-saturated solutions at 298 K, practically no luminescence is observed. This efficient $O₂$ quenching precludes any accurate measurement of τ_e on our instruments.³⁴ Both bands are vibrationally unstructured. The emission spectra

⁽²⁹⁾ Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G.; Gauss, P. L. *Basic Inorganic Chemistry*, 3rd ed.; Wiley: Toronto, 1995; p 61.

^{(30) (}a) Harvey, P. D.; Shafiq, F.; Eisenberg, R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 3424. (b) Perreault, D.; Drouin, M.; Michel, A.; Harvey, P. D. *Inorg. Chem*. **1993**, *32*, 1903. (c) Harvey, P. D.; Truong, K.; Aye, D. T.; Bandrauk, A. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 2347. (d) Harvey, P. D.; Aye, K. T.; Isabel, E.; Hierso, K.; Lognot, I.; Mugnier, Y.; Rochon, F. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 5981. (e) Harvey, P. D. *Coord.Chem. Re*V*.*, in press.

⁽³¹⁾ See the numerous data contained in: Huber, K. P.; Herzberg, G. *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure Constants of Diatomic Molecules*; Van Nostrand: New York, 1979. See also the numerous examples cited in reference 30.

^{(32) (}a) Harvey, P. D.; Murtaza, Z. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 4721. (b) Harvey, P. D.; Dallinger, R. F.; Woodruff, W. H.; Gray, H. B. *Inorg. Chem* **1989**, *28*, 3057.

⁽³³⁾ An attempt to obtain the ν (Cu \cdots Cu) values for the a_1 and e modes of the $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺ cluster by Raman spectroscopy has been made.$ The solid state spectrum is composed of a broad and strong shoulder located at 39 cm^{-1} . These modes are believed to be located under this broad envelope by comparing data for Ag_{n} ($n = 2, 3$) complexes.^{30b} The monomeric species $[\text{Cu}(P(C_6H_5)_3)_3]^+$ exhibits Raman weaker scatterings at 47 and 73 cm^{-1} . The spectra are available in the Supporting Information.

^{(34) (}a) An attempt to measure τ_e in O₂-saturated solutions was made using picosecond flash photolysis available at Concordia University. A transient absorption centered around 600 nm is initially observed and decays in the long-nanosecond regime. On the other hand, a new transient located at ∼500 nm appears, also in the long-nanosecond time scale. The τ_e values are still undetermined because these measurements were accompanied by a photochemical transformation indicating the presence of a reaction. This system was not investigated further. The flash photolysis transient spectra are provided in the Supporting Information. (b) A description of the optical setup used in these measurements is provided in one of our previous works: Harvey, P. D.; Gan, L.; Aubry, C. *Can. J. Chem.* **1990**, *68*, 2278.

Figure 4. Solid state emission (right) and excitation spectra (left) of $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ at 77 K. The peak at 700 nm is an instrumental artifact (first harmonic of *λ* excitation at 350 nm).

for the monomeric $[Cu(P(C_6H_5)_3)_3]BF_4$ compound in ethanol at 77 K is centered at 400 nm and exhibits some complicated vibrational structures (presumably associated with an intraligand phosphorescence). In this case, $\tau_e \sim 1$ ms (i.e., 934 \pm 37 μ s at 77 K in ethanol). Both excitation and absorption spectra show a shoulder at ∼290 nm (different from 300 nm for the Cu3 cluster). These results clearly indicate that the $Cu₃(dppm)₃$ - $OH²⁺$ emission does not arise from a localized independent excited CuP_2 ⁺ center but rather is delocalized within the Cu₃ frame. Since the τ_e values are relatively long and the energy gap between the emission and lowest energy absorption maxima are large (on the order of 11 000 \pm 1000 cm⁻¹), the emission is a phosphorescence.

In order to make firm assignments regarding the nature of the emissive state, the photoselection technique is applied. The polarization ratio, *N*, is given by $(I_V/I_H)_V/(I_H/I_V)_H$. $(I_V/I_H)_V$ is the ratio of the intensities of vertically to horizontally polarized emissions when excited with vertically polarized light, and (I_H) I_{V} _H is the ratio of the intensities of horizontal and vertical emissions with horizontally polarized excitation. *N* is then related to the relative orientation of transition moments in absorption and emission. The theoretical value of $N = 3$ indicates that the absorption is polarized along a single molecular axis followed by an emission along the same axis. $N = 0.5$ indicates single-axis absorption followed by emission along a perpendicular axis. (In practice, these theoretical values were never obtained; this is due in part to the natural depolarization of the glass.) In the special case where $N = 1$, the emission is considered to be depolarized. The C_{3v} geometry of the molecule allows only two transition polarizations to occur in the case of orbitally allowed transitions: along the *z* axis and along the *x*,*y* plane. Hence, an absorption polarized along the *z* axis followed by an emission polarized parallel would produce a polarization ratio approaching the theoretical value of 3.0. On the other hand, one optical process involving *x*,*y*-plane polarization will generate a polarization ratio of 1.0 (depolarized light, since there is no discrimination between the two polarizer positions). Theoretically, only the $a_2 \rightarrow a_2$ and $a_1 \rightarrow a_1$ transitions should be *z*-polarized. An $a_2 \rightarrow a_1$ transition has an unknown transition moment, and therefore the polarization value can take any value. Figure 5 summarizes one possible scenario of how the energy levels could be placed. The ${}^{3}A_{2}$ state exhibits two sublevels including the spin-orbit coupling $(A_1 + E)$. Generally the A_1 - (z) state is the most stable.^{14d} The polarization ratios for the emission excitation spectra of $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ in ethanol at 77 K (Figure 6) reproducibly exhibit a polarization ratio averaging ∼1 between 240 and 300 nm, indicating that the emission is depolarized. This result allows a firm assignment

Figure 5. Energy diagram for $Cu_3(dppm)_3OH^{2+}$ showing the two lowest energy singlet states (${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{1}A_{2}$) and the lowest energy triplet state $(^{3}A_{2})$ which is split into the E and A_{1} sublevels. This is one possible scenario. The experimental polarization results support this diagram, which has been adopted for interpretation purposes.

Figure 6. Polarization ratio (*N*) measured along the excitation spectrum of $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ in ethanol at 77 K.

for the 300 nm feature: $e \rightarrow a_1$ below 300 nm. *N* decreases steadily down to about 0.65-0.70, showing some degree of polarization, which indicates that another electronic transition (differently polarized) takes place in the unresolved tail of the 300 nm band (i.e., between 300 and 350 nm). The only reasonable candidate is $a_2 \rightarrow a_1$, as suggested by the density functional theoretical MO calculations. The emission is therefore assigned to a radiation transition from the ${}^{3}A_2$ state to the ground ${}^{1}A_{1}$ state. (N.B. If the ${}^{1}E$ state would have been placed below the ${}^{1}A_2$ state, the ratios of 0.65-0.70 would not have been observed.)

The perturbation of the photophysical properties by addition of guest molecules has also been investigated. The expression "guest molecules" refers to the fact that any interactions between a substrate and the lumophore (i.e., the $Cu₃$ center) must automatically occur within the pocket formed by the dppm phenyl groups; therefore the substrates are then also submitted to the cavity steric hindrance and hydrophobic interactions. On the basis of the very large binding constants measured for the related Pd_3 (dppm)₃CO²⁺ compound with various carboxylate guest molecules (730 $\le K_{11} \le 10000 \text{ M}^{-1}$),^{5c} the choice of substrates was the acetate and the 4-aminobenzoate anion (as sodium salt). The binding constants were 730 \pm 30 M⁻¹ for acetate and 3300 \pm 300 M⁻¹ for 4-aminobenzoate using methanol as the solvent at 298 K^{5c} (i.e., a ~1:4 relative ratio). The Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺ emission at 298 K is readily quenched by the addition of the carboxylate compounds (Figure 7). These spectroscopic changes are accompanied by the presence of isosbectic points in the UV spectra of $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ in ethanol (at ∼325 nm with the addition of acetate and at ∼330 nm with the addition of 4-aminobenzoate).³⁵ The quenching constants measured by Stern-Volmer methods (τ_0/τ vs [Q]; τ_0 $=$ emission lifetime without quencher, $\tau =$ emission lifetime

Figure 7. Typical emission intensity quenching experiment for the addition of 4-aminobenzoate to an Ar(g)-degassed ethanolic solution of Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺ at 298 K: (1) no quencher; (2) 1.07×10^{-5} M; (3) 3.15×10^{-5} M; (4) 4.15×10^{-5} M.

Figure 8. Stern-Volmer plot $(\tau_0/\tau \text{ vs } [Q])$ for the quenching of $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ in a degassed ethanol solution at 298 K by sodium acetate $(*)$ and sodium 4-aminobenzoate (\blacksquare) .

with quencher, slope $= K_{SV} = \tau_0 k_q$) give the following results: $K_{\text{SV}} = 1.46 \times 10^4$ and 4.52×10^4 M⁻¹ and $k_q = 1.65 \times 10^8$ and 5.10×10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ for acetate and 4-aminobenzoate, respectively (Figure 8).³⁶ The ratio k_q (acetate): k_q (4-aminobenzoate) is ∼1:3, which is not too different from the relative ratio of the binding constants stated above. The decays were found to be monoexponential. McMillin *et al*. ³⁷ have investigated the emission properties for the complex $Cu(dmp)₂$ ⁺ (dmp = dimethylphenantroline). They have proposed an exciplex formation in order to explain the emission quenching by various substrates including Lewis donors, triplet energy acceptors, and acetate. The proposed structure of this exciplex was a pentacoordinated Cu compound with two dmp ligands and a Lewis donor. In the Stern-Volmer plot for the \overline{C} u(dmp)₂+/acetate quenching experiments, the line exhibits an upward curvature and is fitted with a second-order equation (i.e., $[Q] + [Q]^2$), indicating that two excited state quenching pathways are present. In this work, the line is straight (within the experimental

Table 5. Spectroscopic and Emission Data at 77 K

solvent	$\lambda_{\rm max}/\rm{nm}$	$\tau_{e}/\mu s^{a}$
butyronitrile	430 ± 5	290
methylcyclohexane	470 ± 5	220
2-propanol 2-methyltetrahydrofuran	440 ± 5 430 ± 5	\sim 200
ethanol	430 ± 5	170
toluene	470 ± 5	150

 a ⁿ The estimated uncertainty is $\pm 20 \mu s$, except that for ethanol, which is $\pm 40 \mu s$.

uncertainties), indicating a unique pathway. Such a result is consistent with an exciplex formation between the carboxyates and $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$. This interaction must occur within the sterically constrained cavity, leading to a unique conformation. Quenching occurring via an energy or electron transfer to the carboxylate compounds is unlikely. Also relevant to this work, efficient excited state deactivations of the cluster compound $Cu₄I₄(py)₄$ (py = pyridine) by Lewis donors have also been observed.^{1d} For instance $k_q = (5.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for *Cu₄I₄(py)₄/py^{1d} and is ∼1 order of magnitude larger than that observed in this work. py was not used here since the $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ absorption spectrum is "screened" by the one py (i.e., excitation would take place in both the cluster and the py).

In our recent paper on $Pt_3(dppm)_3CO^{2+},$ ^{5b} the emission spectra and lifetimes at 77 K for the cluster in various solvents were obtained in order to study the solvent perturbation of the photophysical properties. The τ_e values were found to vary from 10 to 18 *µ*s (protonated solvents), and *λ*emi at maximum ranged from 630 to 680 nm. These variations were too great to be associated with a simple solvation effect and were interpreted by specific interactions between the unsaturated luminescent Pt₃ center in the excited states and the solvent molecules. A similar study was performed for $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ (Table 5). The variation of τ_e and λ_{emi} is also solvent dependent where $150 \le \tau_e \le 290 \mu s$ and $430 \le \lambda_{\text{emi}} \le 470 \text{ nm}$. However, the trends observed for $Cu_3(dppm)_3OH^{2+}$ and $Pt_3(dppm)_3CO^{2+}$ 5b are not exactly the same. For instance, τ_e varies as toluene < methanol < dimethylformamide < 2-propanol < 2-methyltetrahydrofuran < butyronitrile < ethanol for $Pt_3(dppm)_3CO^{2+}$.^{5b} For $Cu_3(dppm)_3OH^{2+}$, this trend is toluene < ethanol < 2 -propanol \leq methylcyclohexane \leq butyronitrile. The ethanol/ butyronitrile relative order is reversed. The three major differences between the clusters are (1) the cavity size $(Cu$ Pt), (2) the (excited states and ground state) electronic configurations, and (3) the Lewis acid properties (hard vs soft). These differences are certainly responsible for a change in clustersolvent interaction and may explain the changes in trends in τ_e as a function of solvents. It is unclear how each of these factors affect τ_e . Nonetheless, these measurements are an indication that the Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺ and the M₃(dppm)₃CO²⁺ clusters (M $=$ Pd, Pt) do not exactly behave similarly in their guest-host interactions.

This work has provided a number of experimental data which, by comparison with data reported for the well-known M_3 (dppm)₃- CO^{2+} complexes (M = Pd, Pt), support the host-guest model. Some differences are noted and need to be rationalized. Numerous mononuclear Cu(I) phosphine complexes have been investigated in the literature for their luminescence properties and their photosensitizing activities in relation to solar energy storage.³⁸ For instance, the photoassisted conversion of norbornadiene into quadricyclane has been extensively investigated as a function of various Cu(I) phosphine complexes. The

⁽³⁵⁾ We have attempted to measure the association constants (K_{11}) for $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ with carboxylate compounds using spectroscopic methods outlined in ref 5c. Unfortunately the *p*-aminobenzoate absorption spectrum overlaps almost entirely with the cluster one. For acetate, isosbestic points are also evident upon addition of substrates, but the variation of the absorbance was too small to estimate K_{11} . It is not possible to make any comparison with the emission-quenching experiments in these cases. Instead, we have employed data available for the $Pd_3(dppm)3CO^{2+}$ complex.^{5c} The spectroscopic changes associated with $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ in ethanol upon addition of acetate are available in the Supporting Information.

⁽³⁶⁾ During this investigation there has been no evidence for a breakdown of the cluster or loss of the OH group upon photolysis in the presence of carboxylates (from 1H NMR, UV-visible, and IR spectroscopy).

^{(37) (}a) Palmer, C. E. A.; McMillin, D. R.; Kirmaier, C.; Holten, D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, *26*, 3167. (b) Stacey, E. M.; McMillin, D. R. *Inorg. Chem*. **1990**, *29*, 393.

photosensitized interconversion of *cis*- and *trans*-piperylenes is also known to occur using $Cu(I) BH₄$ phosphine complexes.^{38g} Such photochemistry differs from that presented in this work. Although no experiment was attempted to see whether $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ could be used as a photosensitizer for the isomerization of norbornadiene (and other acyclic diolefins), structural models (i.e., CPK) indicate that the $Cu(I)$ $...$ || interac-

tions would be somewhat weak due to the size of the cavity. Further work in this area is in progress.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the NSERC (Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council) and the FCAR (Fonds Concertés pour l'Avancement de la Recherche). P.D.H. thanks Professor Tom Ziegler for allowing us to perfom some of the density functional theory calculations on his computers at the University of Calgary.

Supporting Information Available: Micro Raman spectra of $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ and $[Cu(P(C₆H₅)₃)₃]⁺$, picosecond flash photolysis spectra of Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺, and UV-visible spectra of Cu₃(dppm)₃- $OH²⁺$ in the presence of acetate and emission and excitation spectra of $Cu₃(dppm)₃OH²⁺$ in ethanol solution at 77 and 298 K (9 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

IC950812+

⁽³⁸⁾ See for instance: (a) Tife, D. J.; Moore, W. M.; Morse, K. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 1545. (b) Fife, J. D.; Moore, W. M.; Morse K. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 7077. (c) Orchand, S. W.; Kutal, C. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1982**, *64*, L95. (d) Grutsch, P. A.; Kutal, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 4228. (e) Segers, D. P.; DeArmond, K. M.; Grutsch, P. A.; Kutal, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 2874. (f) Grutsch, P. A.; Kutal, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 6460. (g) Liaw, B.; Orchard, S. W.; Kutal, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 1311. (h) Borsub, N.; Chang, S.-C.; Kutal, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *21*, 538. (i) Geoffroy, G. L.; Wrighton, M. S. *Organometallic Photochemistry*; Academic Press: New York, 1979; p 205-215 and the references therein.